

WO

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Kalilu S. Touray,)	No. CV 08-0405-PHX-JAT
Petitioner,)	ORDER
vs.)	
Michael B. Mukasey, et al.,)	
Respondents.)	

Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus ("Petition") (Doc. #1). The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc. #15) recommending that the Petition be dismissed as moot.


Neither party has filed objections to the R&R. Accordingly, the Court hereby accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) ("statute makes it clear that the district judge must review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations de novo if objection is made, but not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).

Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc. #15) is **ACCEPTED**; and

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
2 (Doc. #1) is **DENIED and DISMISSED AS MOOT** and the Clerk of the Court shall enter
3 judgment accordingly.

4 DATED this 20th day of August, 2008.

5
6 
7 James A. Teilborg
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28